

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH452: MATH452_001_SP2025-MATH 452-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

1 - 1. The instructor's explanation of course requirements																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.00	4.43	4.27	4.39							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	1.41	4.00	11012	4.43	0.92	5.00	2182	4.27	1.04	5.00	765	4.39	0.98	5.00	

2 - 2. The instructor's preparation for each class period																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		3.50	4.48	4.34	4.37							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.50	0.71	3.50	11018	4.48	0.88	5.00	2185	4.34	1.00	5.00	767	4.37	0.99	5.00	

3 - 3. The instructor's use of class time																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		2.50	4.48	4.37	4.46							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	1	50.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	2.50	0.71	2.50	11016	4.48	0.90	5.00	2184	4.37	1.00	5.00	766	4.46	0.96	5.00	

4 - 4. The instructor's way of summarizing or emphasizing important points in class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.34	4.14	4.27							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	10992	4.34	1.02	5.00	2178	4.14	1.16	5.00	763	4.27	1.09	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH452: MATH452_001_SP2025-MATH 452-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

5 - 5 . The instructor's ability to make clear and understandable presentations																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%		5.00	4.34	4.10	4.27							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	11005	4.34	1.03	5.00	2182	4.10	1.17	5.00	766	4.27	1.10	5.00	

6 - 6 . The instructor's use of examples or illustrations to clarify course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%												
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		3.50	4.39	4.23	4.33							
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.50	0.71	3.50	10998	4.39	0.98	5.00	2182	4.23	1.09	5.00	764	4.33	1.03	5.00	

7 - 7 . The instructor's use of challenging questions or problems																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%												
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	2	100.00%		2.00	4.36	4.25	4.34							
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	2.00	0.00	2.00	10996	4.36	0.98	5.00	2177	4.25	1.08	5.00	763	4.34	1.03	5.00	

8 - 8 . The instructor's enthusiasm for the course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.52	4.38	4.33							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	11007	4.52	0.89	5.00	2179	4.38	1.02	5.00	763	4.33	1.09	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH452: MATH452_001_SP2025-MATH 452-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

9 - 9 . The instructor's helpfulness and responsiveness to students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.44	4.31	4.42							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	11001	4.44	0.99	5.00	2180	4.31	1.09	5.00	764	4.42	1.00	5.00	

10 - 10 . The instructor's respect for students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.00	4.58	4.50	4.56							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	1.41	4.00	11011	4.58	0.84	5.00	2180	4.50	0.92	5.00	762	4.56	0.86	5.00	

11 - 11 . The availability of extra help for this class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		3.00	4.34	4.22	4.37							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	1	50.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.00	2.83	3.00	10913	4.34	1.03	5.00	2176	4.22	1.12	5.00	763	4.37	1.01	5.00	

12 - 12 . The instructor's willingness to listen to student questions and opinions																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.53	4.43	4.52							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	10996	4.53	0.88	5.00	2175	4.43	0.96	5.00	762	4.52	0.89	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH452: MATH452_001_SP2025-MATH 452-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

13 - 13 . The information given to students about how they would be graded																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%					4.45							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%					4.43							
Moderately Effective		(3)	2	100.00%	-----				3.00							
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%					4.43							
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%					4.43							
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%					4.43							
0 25 50 100																
									Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.00	0.00	3.00	10915	4.45	0.92	5.00	2178	4.33	1.04	5.00	764	4.43	0.97	5.00	

14 - 14 . The clarity of exam questions																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%	-----				5.00							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%					4.30							
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%					4.11							
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%					4.36							
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%					4.36							
Not Applicable		(0)	1	50.00%	-----				4.36							
0 25 50 100																
									Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	10914	4.30	1.05	5.00	2174	4.11	1.16	5.00	763	4.36	1.02	5.00	

15 - 15 . The exam's coverage of important aspects of the course																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%					4.43							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%					4.29							
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%	-----				3.00							
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%					4.45							
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%					4.45							
Not Applicable		(0)	1	50.00%	-----				4.45							
0 25 50 100																
									Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.00	0.00	3.00	10903	4.43	0.93	5.00	2174	4.29	1.03	5.00	763	4.45	0.93	5.00	

16 - 16 . The instructor's comments on assignments and exams																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%					4.28							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%					4.08							
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%	-----				3.00							
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%					4.26							
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%					4.26							
Not Applicable		(0)	1	50.00%	-----				4.26							
0 25 50 100																
									Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.00	0.00	3.00	10913	4.28	1.08	5.00	2175	4.08	1.22	5.00	763	4.26	1.13	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH452: MATH452_001_SP2025-MATH 452-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

17 - 17 . The helpfulness of assignments in understanding course material																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.31	4.10	4.30							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	10894	4.31	1.06	5.00	2174	4.10	1.20	5.00	762	4.30	1.09	5.00	

18 - 18. Rate the quality of instruction in this course as it contributed to your learning																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%												
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		3.50	4.38	4.18	4.31							
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.50	0.71	3.50	10888	4.38	1.00	5.00	2173	4.18	1.14	5.00	764	4.31	1.08	5.00	

19 - 19. What did you like most about this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was always interactive. We worked homework problems together and presented them in front of the class. Dr. Shalmali was flexible in working with the book's examples because they were often written poorly. She encouraged us to look at problems in creative ways and critique/discuss the book's problems and solutions, which meant we learned more effectively. Not too hard, not too easy. 	

20 - 20. What did you like least about this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dr. Shalmali sometimes cut lectures short for personal or off-topic discussions, which meant we lost a lot of lecture time. The class did not always feel very professional. I expect a class with two students to be casual, but we could have covered more material than we did. From what I've heard about Dr. Shalmali's 251 classes, it seems she does not put the same effort in to her upper-level classes as she does her lower-level classes. If she had spent time working problems before assigning them to us, or looking for supplementary material to accompany the book, I would've gotten a lot more out of this class. Our projects were sometimes 5-minute problems because she didn't review them before assigning them. The damn textbook. That junk not good. 	

21 - 21. What suggestions do you have for improving this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The lectures could be less detailed. I'm glad we went through material slowly, but a lot of it was repetitive or involved very simple calculations, so we could have gone faster. I know this is her first time teaching the class, so I don't blame her for the pacing. But in the future it could be faster. Specifically, there is no reason to spend so long on expected value while studying decision theory (I think the book has 4 whole sections dedicated to it). I would love to look at "real-world" examples or do more research for our projects. The book examples often feel sterile, as they simplify everything before presenting it. This is good for learning the material at first, but it would be more valuable to look at more complicated or more involved examples. It would also be fun to solve a problem related to our own lives. Maybe run it a little faster, didn't really get to the hard hard stuff. 	

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean			
A - Course Organization and Planning	3.63			
B - Communication	3.75			
C - Faculty/Student Interactions	4.00			
D - Assignments, Exams, and Grading	3.70			