

**University of Tennessee at Martin**  
**2024 Fall Evaluations**

**Course:** MATH451: MATH451\_001\_FA2024-MATH 451-001  
**Instructor:** Shalmali Bandyopadhyay \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/2 (100.00 %)

1 - 1. The instructor's explanation of course requirements																		
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																		
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means												
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		4.00		4.41			4.32			4.35				
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%		4.00		4.41			4.32			4.35				
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%														
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%														
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%														
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%														
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12157	4.41	0.97	5.00	2604	4.32	1.02	5.00	1039	4.35	1.03	5.00			

2 - 2. The instructor's preparation for each class period																		
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																		
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means												
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		3.50		4.49			4.40			4.42				
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		3.50		4.49			4.40			4.42				
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%														
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%														
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%														
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%														
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	3.50	0.71	3.50	12573	4.49	0.88	5.00	2685	4.40	0.94	5.00	1064	4.42	0.95	5.00			

3 - 3. The instructor's use of class time																		
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																		
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means												
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50		4.46			4.44			4.48				
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		4.50		4.46			4.44			4.48				
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%														
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%														
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%														
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%														
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12573	4.46	0.94	5.00	2686	4.44	0.94	5.00	1067	4.48	0.91	5.00			

4 - 4. The instructor's way of summarizing or emphasizing important points in class																		
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																		
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means												
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50		4.32			4.17			4.23				
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		4.50		4.32			4.17			4.23				
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%														
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%														
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%														
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%														
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12545	4.32	1.06	5.00	2679	4.17	1.15	5.00	1067	4.23	1.15	5.00			

**University of Tennessee at Martin**  
**2024 Fall Evaluations**

**Course:** MATH451: MATH451\_001\_FA2024-MATH 451-001  
**Instructor:** Shalmali Bandyopadhyay \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/2 (100.00 %)

5 - 5 . The instructor's ability to make clear and understandable presentations																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		4.00	4.30	4.12	4.17							
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%		4.00	4.30	4.12	4.17							
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12565	4.30	1.08	5.00	2686	4.12	1.18	5.00	1068	4.17	1.20	5.00	

6 - 6 . The instructor's use of examples or illustrations to clarify course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		3.50	4.38	4.23	4.22							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		3.50	4.38	4.23	4.22							
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.50	0.71	3.50	12573	4.38	1.01	5.00	2684	4.23	1.11	5.00	1065	4.22	1.15	5.00	

7 - 7 . The instructor's use of challenging questions or problems																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		4.00	4.33	4.24	4.30							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%		4.00	4.33	4.24	4.30							
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	1	50.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12553	4.33	1.02	5.00	2681	4.24	1.08	5.00	1064	4.30	1.06	5.00	

8 - 8 . The instructor's enthusiasm for the course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%		5.00	4.51	4.40	4.31							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%		5.00	4.51	4.40	4.31							
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12550	4.51	0.90	5.00	2675	4.40	1.00	5.00	1064	4.31	1.09	5.00	

**University of Tennessee at Martin**  
**2024 Fall Evaluations**

**Course:** MATH451: MATH451\_001\_FA2024-MATH 451-001  
**Instructor:** Shalmali Bandyopadhyay \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/2 (100.00 %)

9 - 9 . The instructor's helpfulness and responsiveness to students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.42		4.34		4.34	
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12564	4.42	1.01	5.00	2681	4.34	1.08	5.00	1063	4.34	1.11	5.00	

10 - 10 . The instructor's respect for students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.60		4.55		4.54	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12566	4.60	0.83	5.00	2684	4.55	0.86	5.00	1066	4.54	0.88	5.00	

11 - 11. The availability of extra help for this class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.33		4.25		4.30	
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12425	4.33	1.05	5.00	2664	4.25	1.12	5.00	1065	4.30	1.09	5.00	

12 - 12 . The instructor's willingness to listen to student questions and opinions																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.53		4.46		4.47	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12557	4.53	0.89	5.00	2683	4.46	0.95	5.00	1065	4.47	0.98	5.00	

**University of Tennessee at Martin**  
**2024 Fall Evaluations**

**Course:** MATH451: MATH451\_001\_FA2024-MATH 451-001  
**Instructor:** Shalmali Bandyopadhyay \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/2 (100.00 %)

13 - 13 . The information given to students about how they would be graded																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.45		4.39		4.44	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12418	4.45	0.94	5.00	2663	4.39	0.97	5.00	1064	4.44	0.94	5.00	

14 - 14 . The clarity of exam questions																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.29		4.15		4.33	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12419	4.29	1.07	5.00	2661	4.15	1.13	5.00	1061	4.33	1.03	5.00	

15 - 15 . The exam's coverage of important aspects of the course																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.42		4.33		4.44	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12407	4.42	0.96	5.00	2665	4.33	1.02	5.00	1065	4.44	0.95	5.00	

16 - 16 . The instructor's comments on assignments and exams																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.27		4.14		4.22	
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12411	4.27	1.11	5.00	2666	4.14	1.16	5.00	1066	4.22	1.16	5.00	

# University of Tennessee at Martin

## 2024 Fall Evaluations

**Course:** MATH451: MATH451\_001\_FA2024-MATH 451-001  
**Instructor:** Shalmali Bandyopadhyay \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/2 (100.00 %)

17 - 17 . The helpfulness of assignments in understanding course material																			
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means													
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%		5.00		4.27			4.13			4.24					
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%															
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%															
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%															
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%															
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%															
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12391	4.27	1.11	5.00	2662	4.13	1.19	5.00	1061	4.24	1.13	5.00				

18 - 18. Rate the quality of instruction in this course as it contributed to your learning																			
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means													
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%		4.00		4.35			4.24			4.30					
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%															
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%															
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%															
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%															
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12377	4.35	1.03	5.00	2659	4.24	1.10	5.00	1062	4.30	1.09	5.00				

19 - 19. What did you like most about this course?	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I liked the flexibility. We had a say in the direction of what we learned after we covered intro stuff.</li> <li>I liked the projects and the fact that we were encouraged to explore the assignments and discuss them together, not just do exactly what the textbook stated.</li> </ul>	

20 - 20. What did you like least about this course?	
<b>Response Rate</b>	1/2 (50%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I wish we had followed the textbook less (especially for homework problems) or been given more instruction than the textbook gives. We ran into trouble on several assignments because we didn't understand the problem statements in the textbook. For example, some of our textbook homework included using the simplex method on unbounded problems, which doesn't work as expected. But the textbook doesn't make note of the fact that these problems are unbounded or what to do about them. Issues like this were relatively common. But I do understand this is Dr. Shalmali's first time teaching out of the textbook, so she would not see all potential problems.</li> </ul>	

21 - 21. What suggestions do you have for improving this course?	
<b>Response Rate</b>	1/2 (50%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I would like to see more real-life or research-based projects (unless that's material for Math 452). I also would have liked to see more about how to implement the models we discuss rather than formulating models by hand. In the case of methods we had never seen before, like least-squares optimization, the manual calculations are helpful for understanding the process. But for some other processes, like linear optimization, I already knew how the calculations worked. Learning them again wasn't as useful as exploring other parts of the process could be.</li> </ul>	

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean		
<b>A - Course Organization and Planning</b>	<b>4.13</b>		
<b>B - Communication</b>	<b>4.13</b>		
<b>C - Faculty/Student Interactions</b>	<b>4.75</b>		
<b>D - Assignments, Exams, and Grading</b>	<b>4.90</b>		