

University of Tennessee at Martin

2026 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH340: MATH340_001_SP2026-MATH 340-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

1 - 1. The instructor's explanation of course requirements																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.44	4.34	4.47							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12394	4.44	0.92	5.00	3009	4.34	0.97	5.00	1129	4.47	0.89	5.00	

2 - 2. The instructor's preparation for each class period																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.49	4.41	4.50							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12366	4.49	0.87	5.00	3006	4.41	0.89	5.00	1127	4.50	0.83	5.00	

3 - 3. The instructor's use of class time																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.00	4.47	4.45	4.59							
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	50.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	1.41	4.00	12363	4.47	0.92	5.00	3010	4.45	0.91	5.00	1128	4.59	0.79	5.00	

4 - 4. The instructor's way of summarizing or emphasizing important points in class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%		4.50	4.34	4.16	4.37							
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
						0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12344	4.34	1.02	5.00	3008	4.16	1.12	5.00	1130	4.37	0.98	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2026 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH340: MATH340_001_SP2026-MATH 340-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

5 - 5 . The instructor's ability to make clear and understandable presentations																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%												
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12363	4.34	1.03	5.00	3009	4.16	1.12	5.00	1129	4.35	1.00	5.00	

6 - 6 . The instructor's use of examples or illustrations to clarify course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%												
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12357	4.40	0.97	5.00	3008	4.28	1.04	5.00	1130	4.40	0.99	5.00	

7 - 7 . The instructor's use of challenging questions or problems																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%												
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	1	50.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	3.00	1.41	3.00	12366	4.37	0.96	5.00	3008	4.30	0.99	5.00	1129	4.44	0.91	5.00	

8 - 8 . The instructor's enthusiasm for the course material																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%												
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12342	4.52	0.89	5.00	3000	4.41	0.95	5.00	1123	4.44	0.94	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2026 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH340: MATH340_001_SP2026-MATH 340-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

9 - 9 . The instructor's helpfulness and responsiveness to students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.44		4.33		4.45	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12351	4.44	0.99	5.00	3004	4.33	1.05	5.00	1128	4.45	0.97	5.00	

10 - 10 . The instructor's respect for students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.59		4.52		4.60	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12357	4.59	0.82	5.00	3004	4.52	0.88	5.00	1128	4.60	0.80	5.00	

11 - 11. The availability of extra help for this class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.35		4.22		4.42	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12224	4.35	1.02	5.00	2998	4.22	1.09	5.00	1128	4.42	0.94	5.00	

12 - 12 . The instructor's willingness to listen to student questions and opinions																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.54		4.46		4.54	
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12350	4.54	0.86	5.00	3002	4.46	0.90	5.00	1129	4.54	0.84	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2026 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH340: MATH340_001_SP2026-MATH 340-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

13 - 13 . The information given to students about how they would be graded																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	2	100.00%					5.00		4.45		4.37		4.50	
Effective		(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	12246	4.45	0.92	5.00	3004	4.37	0.97	5.00	1131	4.50	0.89	5.00	

14 - 14 . The clarity of exam questions																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%					4.00		4.32		4.12		4.41	
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12228	4.32	1.03	5.00	2997	4.12	1.13	5.00	1127	4.41	0.94	5.00	

15 - 15 . The exam's coverage of important aspects of the course																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.44		4.34		4.52	
Effective		(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12230	4.44	0.90	5.00	2999	4.34	0.96	5.00	1127	4.52	0.81	5.00	

16 - 16 . The instructor's comments on assignments and exams																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective		(5)	0	0.00%					4.00		4.29		4.10		4.29	
Effective		(4)	2	100.00%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12229	4.29	1.08	5.00	2999	4.10	1.16	5.00	1127	4.29	1.05	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin

2026 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH340: MATH340_001_SP2026-MATH 340-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 2/2 (100.00 %)

17 - 17 . The helpfulness of assignments in understanding course material																		
Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective				(5)	1	50.00%					4.50		4.32		4.18		4.37	
Effective				(4)	1	50.00%												
Moderately Effective				(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective				(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective				(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable				(0)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	4.50	0.71	4.50	12223	4.32	1.04	5.00	2997	4.18	1.13	5.00	1129	4.37	1.00	5.00			

18 - 18. Rate the quality of instruction in this course as it contributed to your learning																		
Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Very Effective				(5)	0	0.00%					4.00		4.38		4.23		4.41	
Effective				(4)	2	100.00%												
Moderately Effective				(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective				(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective				(1)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
2/2 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	12217	4.38	1.00	5.00	3000	4.23	1.09	5.00	1127	4.41	0.96	5.00			

19 - 19. What did you like most about this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We worked a lot of examples that were very helpful, and Dr. Shalmali prepared us well for exams. I liked the exams being homework questions and the flexibility and understanding with turning in homework. I also greatly appreciate the patience with me when I struggled to understand the material. 	

20 - 20. What did you like least about this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We didn't really learn any MATLAB from the coding projects. The homework assignments were long and often felt like busy work, especially when they were mostly computations (for example, row reducing 10 matrices was half of a homework assignment). A lot of homework, but the practice was needed. 	

21 - 21. What suggestions do you have for improving this course?	
Response Rate	2/2 (100%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The coding projects should change some. Either they should be in a language the students know, we should spend time learning MATLAB syntax, or we shouldn't bother doing them. As they are currently, we just copied and edited the example programs, and I didn't learn enough to write a MATLAB program myself. None 	

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean		
A - Course Organization and Planning	4.38		
B - Communication	4.25		
C - Faculty/Student Interactions	4.88		
D - Assignments, Exams, and Grading	4.40		