

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

1 - 1. The instructor's explanation of course requirements																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	12	70.59%		4.53	4.43	4.27	4.39							
Effective		(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	5.88%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	1	5.88%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.53	0.87	5.00	11012	4.43	0.92	5.00	2182	4.27	1.04	5.00	765	4.39	0.98	5.00	

2 - 2. The instructor's preparation for each class period																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	10	58.82%		4.35	4.48	4.34	4.37							
Effective		(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	4	23.53%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.35	0.86	5.00	11018	4.48	0.88	5.00	2185	4.34	1.00	5.00	767	4.37	0.99	5.00	

3 - 3. The instructor's use of class time																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	11	64.71%		4.65	4.48	4.37	4.46							
Effective		(4)	6	35.29%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.65	0.49	5.00	11016	4.48	0.90	5.00	2184	4.37	1.00	5.00	766	4.46	0.96	5.00	

4 - 4. The instructor's way of summarizing or emphasizing important points in class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	10	58.82%		4.29	4.34	4.14	4.27							
Effective		(4)	4	23.53%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	2	11.76%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	1	5.88%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.29	1.10	5.00	10992	4.34	1.02	5.00	2178	4.14	1.16	5.00	763	4.27	1.09	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

5 - 5 . The instructor's ability to make clear and understandable presentations

Shalmali Bandyopadhyay

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective	(5)	10	58.82%		4.24	4.34	4.10	4.27							
Effective	(4)	2	11.76%												
Moderately Effective	(3)	4	23.53%												
Somewhat Effective	(2)	1	5.88%												
Ineffective	(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
17/18 (94.44%)	4.24	1.03	5.00	11005	4.34	1.03	5.00	2182	4.10	1.17	5.00	766	4.27	1.10	5.00

6 - 6 . The instructor's use of examples or illustrations to clarify course material

Shalmali Bandyopadhyay

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective	(5)	9	52.94%		4.29	4.39	4.23	4.33							
Effective	(4)	5	29.41%												
Moderately Effective	(3)	2	11.76%												
Somewhat Effective	(2)	1	5.88%												
Ineffective	(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
17/18 (94.44%)	4.29	0.92	5.00	10998	4.39	0.98	5.00	2182	4.23	1.09	5.00	764	4.33	1.03	5.00

7 - 7 . The instructor's use of challenging questions or problems

Shalmali Bandyopadhyay

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective	(5)	12	70.59%		4.35	4.36	4.25	4.34							
Effective	(4)	1	5.88%												
Moderately Effective	(3)	2	11.76%												
Somewhat Effective	(2)	2	11.76%												
Ineffective	(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
17/18 (94.44%)	4.35	1.11	5.00	10996	4.36	0.98	5.00	2177	4.25	1.08	5.00	763	4.34	1.03	5.00

8 - 8 . The instructor's enthusiasm for the course material

Shalmali Bandyopadhyay

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective	(5)	12	70.59%		4.65	4.52	4.38	4.33							
Effective	(4)	4	23.53%												
Moderately Effective	(3)	1	5.88%												
Somewhat Effective	(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective	(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
17/18 (94.44%)	4.65	0.61	5.00	11007	4.52	0.89	5.00	2179	4.38	1.02	5.00	763	4.33	1.09	5.00

University of Tennessee at Martin
2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

9 - 9 . The instructor's helpfulness and responsiveness to students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	13	76.47%		4.71	4.44	4.31	4.42							
Effective		(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	5.88%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.71	0.59	5.00	11001	4.44	0.99	5.00	2180	4.31	1.09	5.00	764	4.42	1.00	5.00	

10 - 10 . The instructor's respect for students																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	14	82.35%		4.71	4.58	4.50	4.56							
Effective		(4)	1	5.88%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	2	11.76%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.71	0.69	5.00	11011	4.58	0.84	5.00	2180	4.50	0.92	5.00	762	4.56	0.86	5.00	

11 - 11 . The availability of extra help for this class																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	11	64.71%		4.41	4.34	4.22	4.37							
Effective		(4)	2	11.76%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	4	23.53%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.41	0.87	5.00	10913	4.34	1.03	5.00	2176	4.22	1.12	5.00	763	4.37	1.01	5.00	

12 - 12 . The instructor's willingness to listen to student questions and opinions																
Shalmali Bandyopadhyay																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	13	76.47%		4.71	4.53	4.43	4.52							
Effective		(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	5.88%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.71	0.59	5.00	10996	4.53	0.88	5.00	2175	4.43	0.96	5.00	762	4.52	0.89	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin
2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

13 - 13 . The information given to students about how they would be graded																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	13	76.47%		4.65	4.45	4.33	4.43							
Effective		(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	1	5.88%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0 25 50 100	Question	UT Martin	College	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.65	0.79	5.00	10915	4.45	0.92	5.00	2178	4.33	1.04	5.00	764	4.43	0.97	5.00	

14 - 14 . The clarity of exam questions																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	8	47.06%		4.24	4.30	4.11	4.36							
Effective		(4)	5	29.41%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	4	23.53%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0 25 50 100	Question	UT Martin	College	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.24	0.83	4.00	10914	4.30	1.05	5.00	2174	4.11	1.16	5.00	763	4.36	1.02	5.00	

15 - 15 . The exam's coverage of important aspects of the course																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	12	75.00%		4.69	4.43	4.29	4.45							
Effective		(4)	3	18.75%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	1	6.25%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0 25 50 100	Question	UT Martin	College	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/18 (88.89%)	4.69	0.60	5.00	10903	4.43	0.93	5.00	2174	4.29	1.03	5.00	763	4.45	0.93	5.00	

16 - 16 . The instructor's comments on assignments and exams																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective		(5)	10	58.82%		4.12	4.28	4.08	4.26							
Effective		(4)	1	5.88%												
Moderately Effective		(3)	5	29.41%												
Somewhat Effective		(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective		(1)	1	5.88%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0 25 50 100	Question	UT Martin	College	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
17/18 (94.44%)	4.12	1.22	5.00	10913	4.28	1.08	5.00	2175	4.08	1.22	5.00	763	4.26	1.13	5.00	

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

17 - 17 . The helpfulness of assignments in understanding course material

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective				(5)	13	76.47%		4.41	4.31	4.10	4.30							
Effective				(4)	0	0.00%												
Moderately Effective				(3)	3	17.65%												
Somewhat Effective				(2)	0	0.00%												
Ineffective				(1)	1	5.88%												
Not Applicable				(0)	0	0.00%												
								0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
17/18 (94.44%)	4.41	1.18	5.00	10894	4.31	1.06	5.00	2174	4.10	1.20	5.00	762	4.30	1.09	5.00			

18 - 18. Rate the quality of instruction in this course as it contributed to your learning

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Very Effective				(5)	13	76.47%		4.65	4.38	4.18	4.31							
Effective				(4)	3	17.65%												
Moderately Effective				(3)	0	0.00%												
Somewhat Effective				(2)	1	5.88%												
Ineffective				(1)	0	0.00%												
								0	25	50	100	Question	UT Martin		College		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	UT Martin	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
17/18 (94.44%)	4.65	0.79	5.00	10888	4.38	1.00	5.00	2173	4.18	1.14	5.00	764	4.31	1.08	5.00			

19 - 19. What did you like most about this course?

Response Rate 14/18 (77.78%)

- Her lectures are like her taking notes with us. Makes it very easy to follow.
- I like how she gives note cards for every test and has videos and notes posted to canvas. Everything is given to you
- I like the examples the best, it helps make the problems easier to understand .
- The ability to work well with student athletes. Even in season.
- I like the speed at which she teaches, just fast enough that it is challenging for the brain but doesn't hinder the ability for me to learn.
- I liked that the professor uploaded instructional videos for the class to watch to help us better understand the class material. Additionally, I also liked the fact that we were able to redo the questions that we missed on previous exams after they had been graded so we could learn from our mistakes and understand how to solve problems similar to those in the future.
- The teacher's personality
- I feel like i was able to make a connection with the instructor which i haven't experienced in any other class.
- The teacher.
- Not much
- None.
- I liked the videos on Canvas going over the homework questions. It helped me better understand the material.
- I love the way she teaches and understands the students. She goes out of her way to help you succeed and strive for success. Amazing professor.
- The amount of help the teacher offers

University of Tennessee at Martin

2025 Spring Evaluations

Course: MATH251: MATH251_001_SP2025-MATH 251-001
Instructor: Shalmali Bandyopadhyay *
Response Rate: 17/18 (94.44 %)

20 - 20. What did you like least about this course?

Response Rate 14/18 (77.78%)

- Not her fault but 8AMs are awful.
- I don't have anything
- I do not like the SLO but they are pretty challenging.
- N/A
- Maybe it was because of the weather conditions of this particular semester, but I'd like each topic of the course to spread more evenly throughout the semester and not spend only 4 lecture hours on the last chapter, where we spent almost one month on the easier first chapter.
- I did not like that some of the instructional videos were relatively long, and I sometimes confused myself when watching them because they were somewhat vague. Also, I wish that there more graded homework assignments in the course that would encourage the class to take time to efficiently study the material. There were barely any homework assignments given to the class this semester, and I feel as if extra homework could have really helped us fully understand the material that was taught in the lectures.
- 8 am time i think harder classes should be later in the day
- Sometimes the exams are very hard.
- The videos
- Waking up at 7 Exams being almost nothing like the practice exams
- None.
- There was not really anything I disliked about this course. The material was challenging, but that's expected.
- Not much bad to say, the 8 a.m. 4 days a week is tough but nothing the professor can do about that.
- Morning class

21 - 21. What suggestions do you have for improving this course?

Response Rate 14/18 (77.78%)

- The class felt good compared to other calculus 1 classes I have taken.
- Keep doing what your doing
- N/A
- N/A
- Not very much there to improve rather than the latter comment.
- I believe that the professor should be a little more specific when solving complex problems by hand in the instructions videos, just to be sure that the students are able to understand the entire solving process. I also believe that there should be more graded homework assignments given to students so that they will be motivated to study the course material to successfully complete their assignments.
- N/A
- Increase the amount of similar exam problem examples.
- More homework sheets
- Have review days
- Be quicker when putting in grades, so students can know how they're actually doing.
- Overall, the course was well-structured. Maybe adding review sessions before exams could help understand the material even more.
- Maybe assign more "exam like" questions in notes. The exam questions always seem to be much tougher than the ones we go over in class.
- Better explain material

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean			
A - Course Organization and Planning	4.46			
B - Communication	4.38			
C - Faculty/Student Interactions	4.64			
D - Assignments, Exams, and Grading	4.42			